

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

AARON McCOY,

No. C 09-4768 SI (pr)

Plaintiff,

**ORDER**

v.

MIKE EVANS, warden; et al.,

Defendants.


Defendants' motion to strike plaintiff's 69-page opposition to their motion to dismiss is DENIED. (Docket # 35.) Although defendants are correct that plaintiff's opposition grossly exceeds the page limit for opposition briefs, the first 32 pages of the opposition consists of a photocopy of a large portion of plaintiff's complaint. The lengthy opposition brief is permitted.

The court does, however, grant defendants their alternative request of additional time to prepare a reply brief. Defendants must file and serve their reply brief no later than **July 15, 2011**. Defendants are requested to describe the procedural history of the state court habeas proceeding in their reply brief. Specifically, the court wants to know what else (if anything) occurred between the filing of the petition and the issuance of the order denying the petition.

The parties are cautioned that all future filings must comply with the local rule's page length limits, i.e., 25 pages for motions, 25 pages for oppositions, and 15 pages for reply briefs. See N. D. Cal. Local Rules 7-2 to 7-4.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: June 20, 2011

  
SUSAN ILLSTON  
United States District Judge